

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1150011 DATE: <u>05/21/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>~8:50 am</u> DEPART: <u>~9:30 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, WEST COAST DIV.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 252 Seaboard Ave			
VENICE 34285-4618			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HUGH PERRY PHONE: (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 9/10/2005 / 9/10/2010			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DADE VA MEGENYG DUGO DOVEDNYG DUGYUDEN ENERG. D. L. (A AO. (AA E. ). G			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?───────────────────────────────────			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))			
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	•		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stoc		∐ No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supermissions?	opressant chemicals when necessary to control	□No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other p			
	educe airborne particulate matter? \(\Sigma\)Yes	No	
<ol> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind</li> </ol>		_	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate e	missions at the drop point to the truck? $\boxtimes$ Yes	∐ No	
		1	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – R	ule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?		⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ		<b>N</b>	
recent notification form?			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,			
local program office?		□No	
local program office.			
Debbie Telemeco Anders, ESII	05/21/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	<u>F</u>		
	~ 2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
improved a significant			

**COMMENTS:** INS 3. Observed visible emissions compliance tests: slag (7.25 psi); cement type 1 (7.5 psi); flyash.; weigh hopper; & loadout. There are two silos: slag is in one silo; the other silo has side by side type 1 cement and flyash.